JOURNAL OF NEW TRENDS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING
ISSN (ONLINE): 2736-1292; ISSN (PRINT): 2736-0792
Journal Homepage: www.jntceniceosun.com
OSUN CHAPTER

SMART SOLUTION FOR FLOOD-RESILIENT CITIES: EXPLORING THE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGY IN PREDICTING AND MITIGATING URBAN FLOODING

[1]KOLAWOLE, Abimbola Sunday, [2]OYENIYI, Samson Oluseyi & [3] LAWAL, Olufemi Oladimeji

[1 & 2] Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Faculty of Environmental Studies, Osun State College of Technology Esa-Oke

[3]Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Osun State College of Technology Esa-Oke

Corresponding Author: oyeniyisamson13@gmail.com +2348131173489

ARTICLE INFORMATION

***Keywords*:**

Smart cities,
Flood disasters,
Flood management,
Urban flooding

ABSTRACT

Urban flooding poses a growing challenge for cities worldwide due to climate change, rapid urbanization and inadequate drainage systems. As a result of inconsistencies in conventional systems of flood management, smart cities are leveraging advanced technologies to predict, mitigate and manage flood disasters. This paper explores the roles of technology in urban flood management; it examines case studies from Venice, Tokyo, New York, and Singapore. Key technological intervention include early warning system, AI driven flood modeling, multifunctional drainage infrastructure, IoT and remote sense based solutions and community resilience programmes. The case studies highlighted the effectiveness of integrating smart flood control measures in reducing flood risks. Additionally, artificial intelligence, real time data analytics and sustainable drainage system have significantly improved flood forecasting and response mechanism. The findings emphasize that a combination of smart infrastructure, policy innovation, and public engagement is essential for building flood resilient cities. The study concludes that smart cities must adopt a multi-faceted approach to flood management leveraging cut-edge technologies alongside traditional and native based solutions. The successful implementation of these strategies not only minimizes flood-related damage but also enhances the overall sustainability and resilience of urban environment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Flood disasters are among the most frequent and devastating natural hazards affecting urban areas worldwide (Kundzewics, 2019). The rate of flooding occurrence in recent times has been unprecedented. About 70 million people globally are exposed to flooding every year, and more than 80 million living in flood prone areas (Peduzzi, 2009). The average statistics shows that the frequency severity, extent and level of destructions are consistently on the increase globally (UNISDR 2013; Samuel, 2014). Between 1995 and 2015, the lives of 2.3 billion people were affected making floods accountable for 47% of all weather related disasters globally (UNISDR, 2015). The rise in flood occurrences in urban centres is attributed to a combination of climate change, rapid urbanization, poor urban planning, inadequate drainage systems, and environmental degradation (IPCC, 2022). Due to global warming, precipitation patterns have become more extreme causing sudden downpours that overwhelmed urban drainage systems (Hirabayashi, 2013). Coastal cities experienced increase tidal surges and storm related flooding due to the rising global sea level (Nicholas & Cazevene, 2010). Many cities especially in the developing world, suffer inadequate and outdated urban planning which exacerbates flood risks (Amoako & Frimpong, 2015), poor planning is evident in the old and inefficient drainage networks which often fail to handle heavy rainfall, leading to water logging and flash flood (Tellman, 2021).

In many cities, improper waste disposal particularly plastic waste, clogged drainage system preventing effective Storm water flow (UNEP, 2019). Consequently, urban flood causes severe economic damage to cities by disrupting businesses, destroying properties and increasing the cost of infrastructure repairs (Hallegatte, 2013). Flooding increases health hazards in urban areas particularly in densely populated cities with inadequate sanitations (WHO, 2018). Key health hazards include spread of waterborne diseases, which contaminates flood water causing different diseases (Few et al; 2004) and increased cases of vector borne diseases and even mental health issues as a result of stress, trauma and anxiety (Alderman, 2012).

Traditional methods of flood disaster management have been widely used for decades to mitigate the impacts of flooding. These methods include structural methods such as levee, dams, and drainage systems and non-structural measures such as land use planning, early warning system, and disaster relief efforts. However, due to climate change, rapid urbanization, increasing flood intensities, and technological advancements, these approaches are proving increasingly unreliable (Jha, 2012; IPCC, 2022). However, the emergence of smart city technologies presents a paradigm shift in how cities predict, manage and mitigate flood disasters. A smart city leverages advanced technologies, data analytics and digital infrastructure to improve urban resilience and sustainability (Batty *et al.,* 2012). These cities integrate cutting -edge solutions such as Internet of things (IOT) Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, big data, remote sensing, cloud computing and Geographical information systems (GIS) to enhance flood forecasting, early warning systems (EWS), real-time flood monitoring and Adaptive flood mitigation strategies (Shukla, 2022). This article explores the role of technology in predicting and mitigating urban flood disasters, highlighting how smart cities innovations contribute to effective flood risk reduction

2. UNDERSTANDING SMART CITIES: MEANING, CHARACTERISTICS AND OPERATIONS

Urbanization is growing at an unprecedented rate, with more than 56% of the world's population living in the cities (United Nations, 2022). As cities expand, they face significant challenges including traffic congestion, pollution, resources depletion, inefficient urban management and vulnerability to climate change related disasters such as flooding. The concepts of smart cities has emerged as a transformative solution to enhance urban sustainability and resilience through the integration of advanced digital technologies, data driven governance, and intelligent infrastructure (Harrison, 2010).

A smart city is an urban environment that uses digital technologies, interconnected systems and real-time data analytic to improve governance, infrastructure efficiency, sustainability and quality of life (Caragliu *et al*; 2011). The core principle of a smart city is data-driven decision making where real time information is collected through sensors, AI powered monitoring systems and IOT networks to optimize city operations and services. European Union (2014) defined smart city as an urban area that integrates digital technology, efficient resources management and participatory governance to improve sustainability and citizen wellbeing. United Nations (2022) defined smart city as an innovative technology, AI-driven automation, and data science to ensure resilience and sustainable development.

According to Smith (2019), the concept of smart cities emerged in the 1990s in the United States focusing on the impacts of new information and communication technologies (ICTs), integrated into the infrastructures of cities. At that time, the California Institute for smart communities was concerned with planning and implementing information technology in cities and how communities would become smarter (Alawadhi *et al*; 2012).

2.1 Features of Smart Cities

A smart city in characterized by interconnected digital technologies that enhance urban living. Batty *et al* (2012) identified six characteristics of a smart city which includes smart infrastructure, smart governance, smart economy, smart transportation, smart environment and smart living;

- Smart Infrastructure: This involves the integration of advanced technologies such as IOT enabled smart grids, AI-driven energy management, and real-time monitoring systems. Barcelona utilizes IOT enabled sensors for street lightening and water collection, optimizing municipal services and reducing operational costs (Caragliu, 2011).
- Smart Governance: Smart governance involves the use of digital platforms data analytics, and participatory decision making. E-governance facilitates online tax payments, digital identity systems, and AI-powered public service delivery. Estonia has developed an advanced e-Government system allowing citizen to access over 99% of public service online (Misuraca, 2012).
- Smart Economy: A smart city fosters a knowledge based economy driven by innovation, entrepreneurship and fintech solutions. Digital marketing place, automated financial services, and block chain application to enhance economic transactions. South Korea's songdo city integrates automation in logistics and business operations to enhance economic efficiency (Harrison & Donnelly, 2011).
- Smart Mobility: Integrations of real-time public transit tracking cashless payment systems, and autonomous vehicles. Smart traffic management reduces congestion and improves mobility. Singapore Land Transportation Autonomy (LTA) uses AI to optimize bus routes and reduce traffic congestion (Zygiaris, 2013).
- Smart Environment: Incorporates green energy solutions, air pollution monitoring and climate resilience strategies to promotes the use of renewable energy, water conservation and sustainable waste management.

Copenhagen employs a smart energy grid that integrates wind energy and district heating to reduce carbon emissions (Hojer & Wangel, 2015).

- Smart Living: It focuses on quality health care, education, and urban safety. AI-based crime monitoring, telemedicine, and digital education platforms enhances well-being. Amsterdam has implemented AI-driven crime prevention systems and real-time urban security monitoring (Nam & Pedro, 2011).

Camera (2017) argue that for a deeper understanding of the development of smart cities in developing countries, it is crucial to elaborate on how innovative capabilities and population empowerment evolve and accumulate overtime. These capabilities are defined as the set of urban resources and potentials that through technological change, primarily in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) enable the population to play an active role in improving the quality of the cities.

2.2 Tools of Smart City

Smart cities leverage advanced tools and Technologies to improve urban management, enhance efficiency and provide better public services. These tools are integrated into smart city operations, enabling real time monitoring automation, and data driven decision making. The key tools of smart cities include internet of things (IOT) devices, Big data analytics, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Cloud Computing, Block chain, 5Gnetworks and smart censors. In most case, smart cities solutions for disaster management fall under the following three categories;

- Big Data: The collection of data from different sources as well as its analysis and presentation for decision support purposes. This category includes technologies such as artificial intelligence, augmented reality and crow-sourcing. It also includes the internet of things i.e., technologies that are interconnected to create smart object networks in which the objects communicate, exchange information, generate more consistent data, and provide support for strategic decisions and actions (DeFranca, 2020).
- Digital Twin: Virtual model of a city that dynamically represents all the elements that constitute the city and allows for real-time interactions and data exchange with physical reality (Sahat& Hyun, 2021).
- Remote Sensing and Support: The use of aerial or space vehicles for monitoring, information gathering, communications and logistics. Furthermore, the gathering and fusion of different types of geo-data delivered by aerial and space surveying for monitoring long term terrain changes and assessing their possible impacts (Popov & Fedorovsky, 2017).

3. CONVENTIONAL FLOOD MANAGEMENT: LIMITATION AND CHALLENGES

Traditional flood management refers to the conventional engineering structural measures that have been used for centuries to control and mitigate flood. These methods primarily focus on altering the flow of water through infrastructural measures such as levees, dikes, drainage systems, embankments and river channelization (Brookes, 1988). Traditional approaches also include non-structural measures like land use planning and flood plain zoning, aimed at reducing flood exposure (Burby, 2001). The primary objectives of traditional flood management are to control water movement, reduce flood extent and protect infrastructure and human settlements (Kundzewics, 2014).

Another major traditional flood technique is river channelization, which involves modifying natural river courses by straightening, widening, and deepening them to increase water discharge capacity (Petts, 1984). The method has been employed in Japan and UK to prevent flooding in densely populated areas. Similarly storm water drainage system helps divert excess rain water away on urban centres reducing surface water accumulation on urban flood risk (Jha, 2012). While conventional flood management methods have been widely adopted across different regions their effectiveness varies, based on environmental, socio-economic and climatic condition, especially in developing countries where traditional flood management structures are either poorly maintained, outdated or inadequate to handle modern flood challenges (WHO, 2020). Many flood control infrastructures in developing countries suffer from poor maintenance, lead to structural failures during extreme flood events. The collapse of levees during Katrina in 2005 highlighted the risk associated with outdated and poorly maintained flood defenses (IPET, 2009). In Africa and Asia, many drainage systems are clogged with waste reducing their capacity to drain excess rain water effectively (Douglas, 2008).

Rapid urbanization has also led to increase settlement in flood prone areas, overwhelming traditional flood defenses in cities like Jakarta (Indonesia) and Mumbai (India), excessive land reclamation and poor urban planning have resulted in frequent urban flooding despite the presence of levees and drainage system (Jha, 2012). Additionally, climate change has intensified rainfall patterns and sea level rise, rendering many traditional flood management strategies inadequate (IPCC, 2019). The construction and maintenance of traditional flood management infrastructure require significant financial investment, many developing countries struggles with budget constraints making it difficult to upgrade or expand flood control systems. The cost of flood mitigation projects often exceeds the available financial resources leading to delays or abandonment of critical flood defenses (Jha, 2012). In response to these challenges, there is an increase need to shift towards technological and smart flood management system that integrate advanced data analytics, early warning systems, and nature based solution to enhance resilience and sustainability. Technological advancements have revolutionized flood management by enabling real-time data collection, predictive analytics, and automated response mechanisms. Smart flood management integrates artificial intelligence (AI), remote sensing, the internet of things (IOT), and big data analytics to improve flood prediction, preparedness, and response.

4. SMART CITIES TECHNOLOGY AS A SOLUTION TO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT

The limitation notwithstanding, smart solutions address many of the problems cities face in dealing with flood disasters. As for the internet of things (IOT) it is refers to a network of interconnected devices embedded with sensors, communication hardwares and data processing capabilities in the context of flood disaster risk management, IOT devices are strategically deployed to monitor environmental parameters such as rainfall intensity, water levels in river and drainage systems, soil moisture and weather conditions. The continuous data streams from these sensors feed into centralized system where big data analytical and artificial intelligence (AI) Algorithms process and interpreted the information. This dynamic ecosystem allows for timely flood prediction, early warning and rapid response. Korris *et al* (2021) emphasize that IOT system significantly enhance urban flood monitoring through real-time data acquisition and automated alerts mechanisms, thereby reducing response time and potential damage.

Big data applications have improvement compared to conventional flood disaster management, their improvement stems from the integration of different data streams to improve situational awareness as well as the speed and quality of processes and management decisions. Big data helps cities to monitor and project heavy rainfall and corresponding water levels, implement data driven early warning systems and assess disasters after they occurred to prepare for and mitigate the impact of future disasters. Furthermore, big data can help to take the human elements out of the management systems, i.e., controlling capacity and flows of water infrastructure automatically based on strategic targets value thresholds, on the other side, human can be a major contribution to a big data approach, they can provide valuable information about rain and water levels thereby helping to coordinate response activities, localize damages zones, and stay in contact with the affected citizens. Big data allows the integration of unused data source that are originally not intended for water management, examples include CCTV streams, online news, articles, rain sensors in cars and social media. In summary big data addresses key challenges of municipal flood management such as accessing relevant up to date data, allocating resources adequately and implementing effective early warning system.

Remote sensing is a powerful technology that plays a vital role in flood disaster risk management by providing timely accurate and wide-ranging information about environmental conditions, utilizing satellite imagery, aerial photography and other sensor data. Remote sensing enables authorities to monitor, assess and respond to flood events more effectively. It collects data about the earth’s surface without making contact. It leverages on sensors mounted on satellite, aircraft, drones and ground based platforms to capture information across various spectral bands. In the context of flood management, remote sensing technologies are used to:

- Monitor weather pattern and precipitation.
- Map flood extents and water level.
- Assess land use and topography.
- Evaluate damage post-disaster.

These capabilities are crucial for early warning system, planning, evacuation routes and designing flood resilient infrastructure. Geographical information system (GIS) is a powerful tool that integrates spatial data to support flood prediction, mapping emergency response and recovery efforts. One of the most critical applications of GIS in flood management is flood hazard mapping which identifies areas at risk of inundation. GIS based flood map use elevation data, historical flood records and hydrological models to delineate flood zones. These maps assist in urban planning, infrastructure development and flood mitigation efforts. In Nigeria, GIS was used to develop a flood hazard map for the Niger Delta identifying high risk zones and informing flood prevention measures (Adelekan, 2018). Jeb and Aggarwal (2020) highlight the effectiveness of GIS in mapping flood prone areas and guiding risk management strategies. Apart from flood mapping, GIS supports flood prediction by integrating hydrological models with real-time meteorological data. By analyzing rainfall patterns, soil moisture levels, and river discharge rates. GIS helps forecast potential flood events allowing authorities to issue early warning (Smith. 2021).

Cloud computing and smart networks play a crucial role in improving flood disaster risk management by enabling real-time data analysis, seamless communication and enhanced decision making. Cloud computing enables real-time monitoring of flood condition by processing large volumes of data collected from remote sensing satellites, weather stations and IoT sensors. The high computational power of cloud platforms allows for rapid analysis and visualization of flood risks. The Google cloud based flood forecasting initiative uses machine learning and hydrological models to analyze satellite imagery and rainfall data, providing highly accurate flood prediction in real-time (Google AI, 2022). Mistra *et al* (2021) explains that cloud based flood forecasting platforms process meteorological /data at high speed, improving early warning and accuracy.

Drones and satellite monitoring have emerged as crucial technologies for flood disaster monitoring offering high resolution imagery, real-time data collection, and advanced mapping capabilities. These technologies enhance flood prediction, early warning systems, damage assessment and response coordination. Drones, also known as Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) provide real time aerial surveillance of flood prone areas, helping authorities monitor rising water levels, breached embankments and affected communities. Zhang (2023) explains that drones-based surveillance improves situational awareness, enabling quick decisions-making during floods. Drones equipped with LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) and photogrammetry camera creates detailed 3D maps of flooded areas, helping emergency responders assess the depth and extent of flood damage.

5. CASE STUDIES OF SMART CITIES IMPLEMENTING FLOOD MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGIES

As urban areas worldwide confront escalating flood risks due to climate change and rapid urbanization, the integration of smart technologies into city infrastructure has become imperative. Several cities have pioneered innovative flood management situations, serving as exemplars of urban resilience.

5.1 Venice, Italy: The MOSE Project

Venice, a city built on Lagoon, has long been susceptible to flooding, particularly from high tides known as *acquaalta.* To effectively address the challenges posed by flooding, the MOSE (Modulo Sperimentale Elettromeccanico) project was initiated. This innovative initiative aims to protect coastal cities from rising sea levels and storm surges through the development of a series of barriers. The barriers are designed to be deployed during high tide or adverse weather conditions, effectively closing off key inlets and preventing floodwaters from inundating urban areas. The MOSE project not only focuses on engineering solutions but also incorporates advanced technology for monitoring and management. By utilizing real-time data from sensors and predictive modeling, the system can optimize barrier deployment and enhance the overall responsiveness to flooding events.. MOSE is a system of 78 mobile gates installed at three inlets connecting the Venetian lagoon to the Adriatic Sea. These gates can be raised to block incoming tides effectively preventing flooding in the city (Carbognin, 2010). The system was first successfully deployed in October, 2020 demonstrating its ability to protect Venice from tides exceeding 1.3 meters (Fairinelli & Panza, 2021). Despite concerns over cost of overruns and corruption, MOSE represents a significant technological advancement in flood management (Tognacci, 2022).

5.2 Zaragoza, Spain: AI-Driven Flood Management

Zarogoza has collaborated with Amazon web services (AWS) to integrate artificial intelligence (AI) into its first flood management systems. This project uses AI-driven sensors and data analytics to optimize water usage and enhance flood forecasting (Martinez & Ruiz, 2023). The initiative has improved real-time decisions-making, reducing flood damage in recent time.

5.3 Singapore: Smart Drainage and Real-time Flood Monitoring

Technologies used:

- Intelligent Drainage system (PUB Smart Water Grid)
- IoT sensors and real-time monitoring
- GIS-Based Flood Risk mapping
- Automated weather stations and AI forecasting

Implementation and impacts

Singapore's public utilities Board (PUB) have developed a smart water grid that integrates IoT sensors across drainage networks. These sensors continually monitor water levels in canals, storms drains and reservoirs, sending real-time data to a centralized system. The systems predict potential flood risks based on rainfall intensity and drainage capacity. In 2011, Singapore introduced the Gravitational water flow system, which automatically diverts excess rain water into underground storage during heavy rainfall (Chong, 2018). AI-power predictive analytics help identity flood prone areas and send early warning to citizens via mobile application (Lin, 2020).

5.4 Tokyo, Japan: Underground Floods Tunnels and Smart Storm water control

Technologies Used:

- G- Cans underground flood water storage system
- AI and machine learning for rainfall prediction
- Real – Time water level sensors in Rivers and sewers
- Automated pumping stations and smart storm water Drains

Implementation and Impact:

Tokyo's G-Cans projects, an extensive underground reservoir which help divert flood water away from urban areas (Takagi, 2020). AI-driven predictive rainfall models help anticipate flood up to 72 hours in advance (Yamamoto *et al;* 2019). Smart drainage system with automated flood tunnels ensures rapid water redirection. Tokyo has prevented major urban flood despite experiencing record breaking rainfall.

5.5 New York City, USA: GIS-Based Flood Risk Mapping and Green Infrastructure

Technologies Used:

- NYC flood net (IoT-based water level sensors)
- GIS-Based Flood Hazard Mapping
- Green Infrastructure (Permeable pavements, bioswales)
- Cloud-Based Data Analytics for real time alerts

Implication and Impacts:

After Hurricane sandy in 2012 New York city adopted a GIS-powered flood risk mapping system to enhance emergency response planning (Rosenzweis&Solecki; 2019). Nysfloodlet launched in 2021, deploys IOT sensors in flood prone neighborhoods to monitor street level flooding in real-time (New York City Mayor’s Office, 2022) Green infrastructure projects such as permeable pavements and bio-swales help absorb stormwater and reduce run off (McPhearson, 2016). AI-powered cloud analytics generate real-time flood warnings sent to resident, via mobile alerts.

6. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION OF SMART FLOOD MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS

The development of smart flood management solutions often requires significant financial investments, advanced flood detection systems, smart drainage infrastructures and AI-driven forecasting tools demand high initial capital and ongoing maintenance cost (Jha, 2012). Many developing countries and municipalities with budget constraints struggle to afford such technologies leading to partial implementation or abandonment of projects (Mees, 2019). While smart flood management relies on advanced technologies, the integration of different systems poses significant challenges. Existing urban infrastructure is often outdated and incompatible with modern sensor network AI models, and smart drainage system (Davison *et al.,* 2011). The lack of interoperability between different data sources and systems can results in inefficiencies and inaccurate flood predictions. Effective smart flood management relies on real-time data collection and predictive analytics. However, inaccuracies in weather forecast, incomplete hydrological models and sensors malfunctions can lead to false alarm or inadequate responses (Teng *et al.,* 2017).

The successful implementation of smart flood management requires cooperation between government agencies, private stakeholders and the local population. Resistance to change, lack of awareness and political conflicts often slow down adoption efforts (Meeset *et al.,* 2019.). Bureaucratic delays and fragmented governance structures can further hinder coordinated flood management responses. With the growing reliance on digital flood management item, cyber security risks have become a major concern. Hacking threats system breaches and unauthorized data access can compromise flood forecasting and emergency response mechanisms (Yin *et al.,* 2021). While smart flood management solutions rely on historical data and predictive modeling, climate change has introduced unprecedented uncertainties. Predictable exact weather events, rising sea levels and intensified rainfall patterns can exceed the design capacity of flood management infrastructure (Hirabayashi *et al.,* 2013).

7. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Recommendations

To enhance the effectiveness of smart cities in managing flood disaster risks, the following recommendations should be considered. Government and urban planners must allocate more financial resources to develop and maintain advanced flood management technologies. This includes investment in real-time flood monitoring sensors, AI-driven forecasting systems and smart drainage infrastructure. Private public partnership can help bridge the financial gap ensuring continuous funding for flood resilience (Jha, 2022). While smart technology lays a crucial role in food mitigation, they should be complemented by nature based solution such as wetland restoration, green roofs, permeable pavements, and urban forests. These solutions enhance water absorptions, reduce surface runoff, and improve urban resilience against flooding (Zhou *et al.,* 2020). Accurate flood predictions require high quality, real-time data from various source including satellite imagery, IoT sensors, meteorological stations. Government should establish collaboration between agencies and improve the accuracy of flood forecast. Government and urban planners should implement robust cyber security frameworks to safeguard flood prediction and response system from potential hacking threat.

7.2 Conclusion

Urban flooding has become a major challenge in many cities due to rapid urbanization, climate change and inadequate infrastructure. The role of technology in predicting and mitigating flood disasters is becoming increasingly important as smart cities seek innovative solution to enhance flood resilience.

The integration of smart flood management technologies has significantly improved warning capabilities and response mechanisms. Case studies from cities operating smart flood management technologies illustrate the potential of technological advancement in reducing flood risks. However, these solutions are not without challenges that have limited the widespread adoption of smart flood management systems. To overcome these barriers cities must prioritize investment in flood resilience, enhance data-sharing mechanism, and incorporate nature based solutions alongside technological interventions, strengthening policy frameworks and adopting climate-adaptive urban planning approaches will ensure long term sustainability. Ultimately the future of flood disaster risk management in smart cities depends on multifaceted approach that combines technological innovation, community involvement and proactive governance.

REFERENCES

Abebe, Y. A., Gersonius, B., & Zevenbergen, C. (2020). Urban flood resilience using smart technologies: A review of applications and challenges. *Sustainable Cities and Societies, 55*, 102023.

Adelekan, I. O. (2018). Urban dynamics, everyday hazards and disaster risks in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Environment and Urbanization, 31*(1), 213–232.

Ahmed, T. (2023). The role of AI in urban flood risk assessment. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 20*(4), 451–467.

Amoako, C., & Frimpong Boamah, E. (2015). The three-dimensional causes of flooding in Accra, Ghana. *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development, 7*(1), 109–129.

Batty, M., Axhausen, K., Giannotti, F., Pozdnoukhov, A., Bazzani, A., & Wachowicz, M. (2012). Smart cities of the future. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics, 214*, 481–518. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2012-01703-3

Boukerche, A., & Coutinho, R. W. L. (2018). Smart disaster detection and response system for smart cities. In *Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communication (ISCC)* (pp. 1102–1107).

Brooks, N. (2003). Vulnerability, risk and adaptation: A conceptual framework. *Tyndall Centre Working Paper No. 38*, 1–16.

Burby, R. J. (2001). Hurricane Katrina and the paradoxes of government disaster policy: Bringing about wise governmental decisions for hazardous areas. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 604*(1), 171–191.

Camara, G., De França, G. B., & Petts, G. (2017). Smart city planning and flood risk reduction. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 44*(4), 570–588.

Caragliu, A., Del Bo, C., & Nijkamp, P. (2011). Smart cities in Europe. *Journal of Urban Technology, 18*(2), 65–82.

Carbognin, L., & Tognacci, R. (2010). The MOSE project and its impacts on Venice flood resilience. *Journal of Coastal Research, 58*(4), 312–326.

Cheng, W., Wang, W., Huang, G., & Lai, C. (2022). The capacity of grey infrastructure in urban flood management: A comprehensive analysis. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 53*, 102013.

Chong, Z., Wang, D., Ding, Y., & Ong, Y. (2018). Urban smart mobility. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 105*, 322–345.

Dawson, R. J., Hall, J. W., & Barr, S. L. (2011). A blueprint for the integrated assessment of climate change in cities. *Environmental Science & Policy, 14*(7), 934–943.

De França, R., Bail, R., Kovaleski, J. L., Da Silva, V. L., & Pagani, R. N. (2020). Internet of things in disaster management: Technologies and uses. *Environmental Hazards, 20*, 493–513.

Deltares. (2020). *Room for the river: Climate adaptation in the Netherlands*. Deltares Research Institute.

Elvas, L. B., Maraloto, B. M., Martins, A. L., & Ferreira, J. C. (2021). Disaster management in smart cities. *Smart Cities, 4*, 819–839.

European Commission. (2014). *Smart cities*. https://commission.europa.eu

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). (2023). *Using AI and IoT for flood risk reduction in U.S. cities*. https://www.fema.gov

Few, R. (2003). Flooding, vulnerability and coping strategies: Local responses to a global threat. *Progress in Development Studies, 3*(1), 43–58.

Ford, D. N., & Wolf, C. M. (2020). Smart cities with digital twin systems for disaster management. *Journal of Management in Engineering, 36*, Article 04020027.

Google AI. (2022). *Machine learning models for flood prediction and early warning systems*. https://ai.google

Hallegatte, S., Green, C., Nicholls, R. J., & Corfee-Morlot, J. (2013). Future flood losses in major coastal cities. *Nature Climate Change, 3*(9), 802–806.

Harrison, C., & Donnelly, I. A. (2011). A theory of smart cities. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the ISSS* (pp. 1–15).

Harrison, C., Eckman, B., Hamilton, R., et al. (2012). Foundations for smarter cities. *IBM Journal of Research and Development, 54*, 1–16.

Hirabayashi, Y., & Yin, J. (2013). Global flood projections under climate change. *Nature Climate Change, 8*(8), 681–686.

Hooijer, A., & Khlijn, F. (2021). Technological advancements in Dutch flood risk management. *Environmental Science & Policy, 120*, 45–59.

Interagency Performance Evaluation Task Force (IPET). (2009). *Performance evaluation of the New Orleans and Southeast Louisiana hurricane protection system*. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2019). *Global warming of 1.5°C*. https://www.ipcc.ch

Jha, A. K., Bloch, R., & Lamond, J. (2012). *Cities and flooding: A guide to integrated urban flood risk management*. World Bank.

Kundzewicz, Z. W., & Peduzzi, P. (2019). Flood risk reduction: Structural measures and the role of insurance. *Natural Hazards, 60*(2), 1487–1508.

Lagmay, A. M., Racoma, B. A., & Agaton, R. P. (2017). Project NOAH: A tool for flood disaster preparedness in the Philippines. *Disaster Prevention and Management, 26*(5), 529–548.

Lin, H., Yang, D., & Lee, W. (2020). Machine learning applications for urban flood prediction in Singapore. *Water Science & Technology, 81*(3), 1–10.

Martinez, J. M., & Ruiz, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in flood management: The case of Zaragoza, Spain. *Journal of Urban Technology, 30*(1), 156–174.

McPhearson, T., Hamstead, Z., & Kremer, P. (2016). Urban resilience and green infrastructure in New York City. *Urban Ecosystems, 19*(1), 267–283.

Mees, H., Driessen, P., & Runhaar, H. (2019). Climate adaptation and urban flood governance. *Environmental Policy and Governance, 29*(3), 234–250.

Misuraca, G., & Caragliu, A. (2012). Digital transformation in smart cities. *Government Information Quarterly, 35*(4), 643–652.

Nam, T., & Pardo, T. A. (2011). Conceptualizing smart cities. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research* (pp. 282–291).

Nicholls, R. J., & Peduzzi, P. (2015). Global flood risk analysis. *Natural Hazards, 75*, 1515–1532.

Peduzzi, P. (2012). Floods and cities. *Natural Hazards, 61*(3), 1273–1291.

Pinter, N. (2005). One step forward, two steps back on U.S. flood control. *Science, 308*(5719), 207–208.

Ray, P. P., Mukherjee, M., & Shu, L. (2017). Internet of things for disaster management. *IEEE Access, 5*, 18818–18835.

Rosenzweig, C., Solecki, W., Hammer, S., & Mehrotra, S. (2019). *Climate change and cities*. Cambridge University Press.

Shahat, E., Hyun, C. T., & Yeom, C. (2021). Digital twin potentials. *Sustainability, 13*, 3386.

Sharma, K., Anand, D., Sabharwal, M., et al. (2021). Disaster management framework using IoT. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021, 9916440.

Shukla, M. (2022). Smart flood risk management. *Water Resources Management, 34*(5), 1785–1802.

Smith, A., & Harrison, C. (2019). AI in urban flood prediction. *Environmental Modelling & Software, 122*, 104567.

Tellman, B., Sullivan, J. A., Kuhn, C., et al. (2021). Satellite imaging and flood exposure. *Nature, 596*(7870), 80–86.

Teng, W., He, B., & Guo, L. (2017). Big data in flood management. *Water Resources Research, 53*(12), 9810–9825.

United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat). (2020). *Cities and climate change*.

United Nations. (2022). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. https://www.un.org

World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). *Flooding and health: Fact sheet*.

Yamamoto, K. (2019). Sustainable smart cities and urban flood management. *Sustainability, 12*(6), 2345.

Yin, J., Wu, J., & Wang, S. (2021). Cybersecurity risks in smart flood systems. *Urban Computing Journal, 15*(4), 302–317.

Yu, M., Yang, C., & Li, Y. (2018). Big data in disaster management. *Geosciences, 8*, 165.

Zevenbergen, C., Veerbeek, W., Gersonius, B., & Van Herk, S. (2011). Challenges in urban flood management. *Journal of Flood Risk Management, 4*(3), 235–246.

Zhang, H., & Ahmed, V. (2013). Smart city reference model. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 4*(2), 217–231.

Zhou, Q., Li, X., & Yu, H. (2020). Sponge city flood reduction. *Journal of Hydrology, 583*, 124139.